# Child Welfare Key Indicators Monthly Report

## April 2017

Including Updated Data through March 2017



Review of the Child Welfare System through key outcomes, process, qualitative, workload and resource indicators



A Results-Oriented Accountability Report

## Table of Contents

Graph and Table Symbols	6
Performance Glance	6
List of Symbols	6
DCF Service Structure	7
Regions, Circuits, Counties, and CBC Lead Agencies	7
Florida Abuse Hotline	8
Workload Trends	8
Reporting Method Trends	8
Seasonality of Hotline Workload	8
Screening Trends	9
Alleged Maltreatment Screening	9
Special Conditions Screening	9
Timeliness Trends	
Average and Maximum Times to Answer or Abandon	
Percent of Calls Abandoned	
Average Handling Time Trend Stratification	11
Hotline Counselor Workforce	11
Monthly Separations	11
Child Protective Investigators	
Workload Trends	
Investigative Workload: Incoming, Active, and Backlog Investigations	
Investigative Workload: Stratified by Region	
Maltreatment Investigations and Special Conditions Referrals	13
Special Conditions: Stratified by Type and by County	
Statewide: More Than 15 Active Investigations	14
Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office	14
Timeliness Trends	15
Statewide: Alleged Child Victims Seen Within 24 Hours	15
Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office	15
Statewide: Child Investigations Completed Within 60 Days	
Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office	
Safety Determinations and Services Provided	
Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination	

Impending Danger Threats Identified by Safety Determination	
Unsafe Children and Safe Children by Placement Service Type upon Investigation Closure	
Unsafe Children Receiving No Placement Services or Family Support Services Upon Investigative OPlacement Services After Investigation Closure	
Statewide: Recurrence of Maltreatment After Verified Findings	19
Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office	19
CPI Workforce	20
Monthly Separations	20
Time in Classification	20
Flow from CPI to CBC Lead Agencies	21
Removals and Removal Rates	21
Statewide Trend	21
Removals Cumulative Count by SFY	21
Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and Sheriff's Office	22
Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and CBC Lead Agency	22
Community-Based Care Lead Agencies	23
Caseload Indicators	23
Statewide Trends in Case-Managed Services	23
Services Mix	23
Removals and Discharges Compared to Out-of-Home Care Trend	24
Placement Types Compared to Children in Out-of-Home Care Trend	24
Family Functioning Assessment-Ongoing Implementation Map	25
Florida CQI and CFSR	26
Safety Indicators	26
Child Safety Trends: Comparison of Three Indicators	26
Child Safety During Case-Managed In-Home Services	27
Child Safety in Out-of-Home Care	27
Child Safety After Termination of Case-Managed Services	28
Child Safety After Termination of Family Support Services	28
Permanency Indicators	29
Statewide Trend: Permanency Within 12 Months of Removal	29
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	29
Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months	
Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months	
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	
Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months	

Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	31
Statewide Trend: Re-Entry into OHC Within 12 Months of Achieving Permanency	32
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	
Timeliness of Judicial Handling	33
Reunification Goal After 15 Months and No Termination of Parental Rights Activity	
Timeliness of Termination of Parental Rights, from Petition to Order	33
Time from Removal Date to Disposition Order	34
Maintaining Connections in Placement	34
Statewide Trend: Placement Stability	34
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	35
Statewide Trend: Placement of Siblings Group Together	35
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	
Proximity of Placement to Maintain Connections	
Children Placed Outside Removal County	
Children Placed Outside the Removal Circuit	
Placement in Family Settings	37
Trends in Group Care by Age Report	37
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-5	
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 6-12	
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 13-17	
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-17	
Prescribed Psychotropic Medications	40
Children in Out-of-Home Care with Prescribed Psychotropic Medications	40
Children with Consent for Prescribed Psychotropic Medications	40
Dental Services	41
Children Receiving Dental Services in Last Seven Months	41
Education Program Enrollment	41
Young Adults Aging Out Enrolled in Education Programs	41
Dually Served Youth	42
Statewide Trend: Youths Served by CBC Lead Agencies and Juvenile Justice	42
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	42
Missing Child	43
Average Number of Children Categorized as Missing from Care and Supervision	43
Child Reports Entered into FSFN within One Working Day	43
Resolution of reports within 3 days	44
Human Trafficking	44

Human Trafficking Intakes Trend	44
Children with Indication of Human Trafficking by CBC Lead Agency	45
Child Care and Domestic Violence	46
Child Care Regulation	46
Child Care Inspections per Month	46
Domestic Violence	46
Percent Victims Leaving a Shelter with a Family Safety and Security Plan	46

## Graph and Table Symbols

#### Performance Glance

Performance indicators have been added to the titles and table of contents to assist the reader in quickly determining current performance in specific areas.

Green dots before the titles indicate that either statewide/national targets are being met or for those measures where a target is not applicable, the previous 3 month trend is either stable or moving in the right direction.

Red dots before the titles indicate that either statewide/national targets are not being met or for those measures where a target is not applicable, the previous 3 month trend is moving in the wrong direction.

### List of Symbols

- Updated- The data appearing within the chart or table has been changed from prior report
- Monthly- Data updates monthly
- Quarterly- Data update within the SFY quarters
- Annual- Data updates on a 12 month cycle
- PIT Point-in-Time- Data updates on a defined date
  - Last day of month- Data update on the last day of the month
  - State Fiscal Year- July 1<sup>st</sup> through June 30<sup>th</sup>
  - Federal Fiscal Year- October 1<sup>st</sup> through September 30th
- CY

Mod

FFY


LDM

SFY

- Calendar Year- January 1st through December 31st
- Cumulative- All data points within a defined time period
- New- Chart or table has been added to the report
- Modified- Chart or table has been change from prior iteration

## DCF Service Structure

### Regions, Circuits, Counties, and CBC Lead Agencies




## Florida Abuse Hotline

## Workload Trends

#### **Reporting Method Trends**


The Florida Abuse Hotline offers a variety of methods for citizens to report concerns about children and vulnerable adults. A modification was made within the system, resulting in the tracking of webs and faxes together. Webs were previously represented by the green line within the graph below, however beginning in March 2017, webs were combined with faxes, now represented by the red line.



#### Hotline Total Contacts and Trends in Reporting Methods: Telephone, Fax and We

#### Seasonality of Hotline Workload

There were 48,760 total contacts offered for the month of April. "Contacts Offered" include those abandoned by a caller who called back, so both attempts and completed calls from the same individual may be counted.




Mod

#### Screening Trends


#### Alleged Maltreatment Screening

Total child maltreatment allegations for April 2017 was 28,995. In April 2017, 77.1% of child maltreatment allegations reported were screened-in, which is the lowest observed screened-in percentage since February 2014.



#### Special Conditions Screening


Stable with March, there were 1,753 screened-in special conditions for April 2017.



### **Timeliness Trends**

#### Average and Maximum Times to Answer or Abandon


The average wait time before answer remained stable from March 2017 (3 minutes 09 seconds) to April (3 minutes 12 seconds).



Average and Maximum Times to Answer or Abandon


#### Percent of Calls Abandoned

The percent of calls to the Florida Abuse Hotline that are abandoned before answer increased slightly from 13.0% to 14.6% for March 2017 to April 2017. The percent of Spanish Language Line abandoned calls continued a 3 month decline to 18.0% for April 2017.



#### Average Handling Time Trend Stratification


The Average Handling Time (AHT) of a counselor includes talk time (ACD) and after call work (ACW). Average talk time continued below the 12 minute level and ACW continued below the 22 minute level.



## Hotline Counselor Workforce

#### Monthly Separations


The Florida Abuse Hotline had 2 counselor separations in March 2017.



## **Child Protective Investigators**


## Workload Trends

Investigative Workload: Incoming, Active, and Backlog Investigations
There was an increase in the number of incoming investigations for March 2017 (17,990). Active investigations as of the last day of the month were at 23,672. Backlog continued to drop with 562 in March 2017.



Investigative Workload: Stratified by Region


As of March 2017, 6 of 6 regions continued to achieve an investigation backlog of 5.0% or less.



Percent of Backlog and Percent of Backlog for each Tx\_Entity. Color shows details about Tx\_Entity. For pane Percent of Backlog: The marks are labeled by Percent of Backlog. The data is filtered on NewYearMonth (MY), which keeps March 2017.


#### Maltreatment Investigations and Special Conditions Referrals

The trends for both Alleged Maltreatment Investigations and Special Conditions Referrals continue to increase.



#### Special Conditions: Stratified by Type and by County


As observed in the data, the increasing trend in special conditions is largely due to the increase in child-on-child referrals. All other special condition types have remained fairly flat.




Office of Child Welfare-Performance and Quality Improvement

#### •Statewide: More Than 15 Active Investigations

The statewide percent of CPIs with 15 or more active investigations as of March 31, 2017 was 47.1%.






### **Timeliness Trends**


#### Statewide: Alleged Child Victims Seen Within 24 Hours

The statewide percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours has remained stable from August 2016 to March 2017.



## Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office


7 of 23 DCF circuits and sheriff's offices were at 90% level or higher in March 2017.



#### Statewide: Child Investigations Completed Within 60 Days




The statewide percent of investigations completed within 60 days continued to increase reaching 95.9% in January2017, falling just below the 97% target.



Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office

In 16 of 23 areas, 90% of investigations, with initial report received in January 2017, were completed within 60 days.






### Safety Determinations and Services Provided

These Tableau visualizations are for investigations closed between February 25, 2017 and April 26, 2017.

#### Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination


This visualization indicates that some children have been determined "unsafe," yet have no impending danger (pink), while others are determined "safe," yet there is impending danger (dark blue). These anomalies are receiving follow-up to determine cause.

#### Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination for Investigations Closed between February 25, 2017 and April 26, 2017



#### Impending Danger Threats Identified by Safety Determination


This Tableau visualization indicates the percentage of the identified Impending Danger Threats for both safe and unsafe children, by region.



Unsafe Children and Safe Children by Placement Service Type upon Investigation Closure These Tableau visualizations reflect investigations closed between February 25 and April 26, 2015.


• The visualization on the top indicates that some children have been determined "unsafe," yet have no placement services (red).

• The visualization on the bottom indicates that some children have been determined "safe," yet were placed in out-of home care (violet) or received case-managed in-home services (dark blue).



Unsafe Children Receiving No Placement Services or Family Support Services Upon Investigative Closure and No Placement Services After Investigation Closure

The following chart provides a trend view of the number of children who have been deemed unsafe, by month of investigation closure, who are receiving Family Support Services or no placement services as opposed to ongoing, case-managed services. Each month's data is finalized on the third pull, allowing for a grace period of around 75 days for data entry. The No Subsequent Services Provided After Investigation Closure line is a drill down on the children not receiving services upon investigative closure. Additionally, a new line has been added to identify if there was a face-to-face contact made after investigation closure for those children that had no placement services at investigation closure. In February 2017, although 143 children were not receiving placement services upon investigation closure, 35 of them received placement services and 45 had face-to-face contacts after investigation closure.




**18** | Page

U


#### Statewide: Recurrence of Maltreatment After Verified Findings

Recurrence within 12 months has consistently been within a percentage point since October 2013 and is currently at 8.5%.



#### Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office

There is wide variation among the circuits and sheriff's offices on this indicator. However, it should be noted variation in rates is influenced by both reporting and verification rates.



#### Percent Verified Recurrence of Maltreatment within 12 Months of Verified Findings Initial Investigation with Verified Findings in October - December 2015, followed through 12/2016


Q

0

### CPI Workforce


#### Monthly Separations

Child Protective Investigator separations were 30 for the month of March 2017.



#### Time in Classification

Consistent with recent trends, at the point in time of the data pull, 23.7% of CPIs positions were vacant or had less than 6 months experience and 49.3% were vacant or had less than 1 year experience.




## Flow from CPI to CBC Lead Agencies

### Removals and Removal Rates


#### Statewide Trend

1,408 children were removed in March 2017, which was an increase of 244 children from February 2017 (1,164).




#### Removals Cumulative Count by SFY

The year over year cumulative count of removals for SFY 2016-2017 continues to trend with the same slope as SFY 2015-2016.




#### Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and Sheriff's Office

There remains wide variation among circuits and sheriff's offices on this indicator. Removal rates range from 3.6 to 9.6 per 100 children investigated.



#### Removal Rate per 100 Children Investigated SFY 2016-17 October-December

Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and CBC Lead Agency There is wide variation among the circuits and CBC lead agencies on this indicator.



#### Removal Rate per 100 Children Investigated October-December 2016

 $\cap$ 


Q

## **Community-Based Care Lead Agencies**

### Caseload Indicators


Statewide Trends in Case-Managed Services

There were 24,110 children in out-of-home (OHC) care on March 31, 2017, consistent with the upward trend in OHC observed since the middle of 2013. The trend for children receiving in-home services has remained relatively flat for three years, with 11,849 children receiving in-home services on March 31, 2017.



#### Services Mix


There continues to be variation among circuits in regards to in-home and out-of-home care services, with the widest variation continuing to occur in use of Family Support Services.




Children Receiving Services by Type on 03/31/2017-- Rates per 1000 Child Population

#### Removals and Discharges Compared to Out-of-Home Care Trend

The number of demonstrated a sharp increase from February to March 2017, 1,164 to 1,408 respectively. Similarly, there was a considerable increase observed in the number of discharges from February to March 2017.



Placement Types Compared to Children in Out-of-Home Care Trend On March 31, 2017, there were 23,945 children in out-of home care with 10,749 in relative care placement, 6,976 in a licensed family foster home, 2,909 in non-relative care, 2,149 in licensed group care, and 1,053 in other placement.




Data Source: FSFN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Last Updated: 4/15/2017

#### Family Functioning Assessment-Ongoing Implementation Map

This Tableau map provides county-specific percentages, as well as percentages by region. Please note that this map is a snapshot in time and does not reflect closed cases that utilized an FFA ongoing. Zero percent for some counties may result from a small number of cases or cases assigned to other counties.



#### Ongoing Services Safety Methodology Implementation Status as of 4/26/2017

Source: FSFN report Active Cases with an Approved FFA-Ongoing – OCWRU #1084 Notes: Small number of cases assigned to the county will affect percentages. Based on location of primary worker. Counties with no cases assigned to them will not appear in the map.



#### Florida CQI and CFSR

The following table has been added providing statewide and lead agency outcome ratings from FLCQI and CFSR data around Safety, Permanency, and Well-being.

		Safe	ty Outcome 1	Safe	ty Outcome 2	Permanency Outcome 1Permanency Outcome		ency Outcome 2	2 Well-Being Outcome 1		1 Well-Being Outcome 2		2 Well-Being Outcome 3		
		Ou	tcome Rating	Outcome Rating		Outcome Rating		Outcome Rating		Outcome Rating		Outcome Rating		Outcome Rating	
Assessment of Child Welfare Practice	Number of Cases in Sample	foren	en are, first and nost, protected m abuse and neglect.	Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.		Children have permanency and stablity in their living sitations.		The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.		Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their . children's needs.		Children receive appropriate servies to meet their educational needs.			
Community Base Care Agency		N	Sub. Achieved	N	% Strength	N	% Strength	N	% Strength	N	% Strength	N	% Strength	N	% Strength
Big Bend	78	30	100%	78	78%	57	58%	56	80%	78	62%	52	98%	61	89%
Brevard	27	12	100%	27	89%	15	53%	15	60%	27	74%	16	81%	16	81%
CBC Central FI Orange/Osceola	92	47	96%	92	80%	52	31%	52	56%	92	35%	49	92%	77	81%
CBC Central FI Seminole	34	25	96%	34	71%	21	43%	21	48%	34	21%	17	88%	27	52%
ChildNet Broward	78	26	100%	78	78%	50	50%	50	74%	78	71%	51	71%	56	52%
ChildNet Palm Beach	88	44	95%	88	89%	55	69%	55	87%	88	85%	51	94%	70	77%
CNSWFL	96	56	91%	96	66%	58	43%	58	71%	96	55%	55	67%	78	56%
Community Partnership for Children	66	45	93%	66	80%	39	69%	39	77%	66	53%	28	89%	51	65%
Devereux	84	46	100%	84	55%	49	45%	49	53%	84	50%	39	72%	60	65%
ECA - Hillsborough	78	42	90%	78	90%	52	71%	52	73%	78	60%	60	78%	67	58%
ECA - Pinellas Pasco	88	38	100%	88	78%	53	72%	53	79%	88	66%	50	80%	80	79%
Families First Network	87	49	86%	87	63%	51	39%	51	51%	87	26%	45	76%	71	58%
Family Support Services of North Florida	74	46	93%	74	66%	48	69%	47	66%	74	58%	38	84%	66	85%
Heartland	88	42	95%	88	85%	53	62%	53	72%	88	61%	50	90%	75	85%
Kids Central	86	40	95%	86	63%	65	46%	63	63%	86	47%	52	87%	73	74%
Kids First of Florida	33	19	74%	33	45%	21	57%	21	62%	33	27%	20	90%	24	75%
Our Kids	74	36	100%	74	65%	46	57%	46	70%	74	32%	59	73%	72	65%
Partnership for Strong Families	69	37	84%	69	41%	39	36%	39	41%	69	14%	28	46%	53	43%
Sarasota YMCA	66	34	88%	66	80%	56	75%	56	88%	66	68%	54	83%	62	69%
St. Johns Cty Commission	37	21	95%	37	54%	24	75%	24	79%	37	46%	21	86%	32	69%
FL CQI Statewide (PUR Dec. 2015-Dec. 2016)	1423	735	94%	1423	72%	904	56%	900	69%	1423	52%	835	81%	1171	69%
FL CFSR Statewide (PUR Apr. 2015-Sept. 2016)	80	47	91%	80	70%	55	49%	55	69%	80	40%	53	92%	67	75%
FL CQI Statewide (PUR Apr. 2015-Sept. 2016)	715	357	94%	715	75%	446	56%	432	67%	715	53%	408	81%	583	69%

Source: Federal Online Monitoring System


### Safety Indicators

#### Child Safety Trends: Comparison of Three Indicators





Children continue to be safer while receiving services than after termination of services:

- The percent of children with no verified maltreatment during case-managed in-home services has been steady at around 97% for years and was 97.3% in October through December 2016.
- The percent of children with no verified maltreatment within six months after termination of case-managed services was 95.6% for April through June 2016.
- The percent of children with no verified maltreatment within six months of termination of Family Support Services was at 94.2% for those closing in April through June 2016.




#### Child Safety During Case-Managed In-Home Services Statewide performance for October through December 2016 was 97.3%.





#### Child Safety in Out-of-Home Care

This measure is generated by taking the total number of reports with at least one verified maltreatment and dividing it by the total number of days in foster care for all children, with the result multiplied by 100,000 to calculate the rate of victimization per 100,000 days in foster care. The data for this quarter continues to show wide variation among the CBCs, with statewide performance not meeting the national standard of 8.50 or less.




#### Rate of Foster Care Children with a Verified Maltreatment per 100,000 Days in Care October-December 2016

Q

#### Child Safety After Termination of Case-Managed Services


#### Statewide performance for services terminated in April through June 2016 was 95.6%.

#### Percent of Children Terminated from Case Managed Services in Quarter Who Were Not Maltreated within Six Months, for Children with Services Terminated April-June 2016



#### Child Safety After Termination of Family Support Services Statewide performance for services terminated in April through June 2016 was 94.2%.

Percent of Children Terminated from Family Support Services in Quarter Who Were Not Maltreated within Six Months, for Children with Services Terminated April-June 2016



CBC Lead Ag




0

#### **Permanency Indicators**




#### Statewide Trend: Permanency Within 12 Months of Removal

Permanency within 12 Months of Removal is our primary indicator of timely permanency. Statewide performance is at a current level of 41.4%, demonstrating a slight upward trend for the past three quarters.



### Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

Eleven of the lead agencies exceed the national standard of 40.5%.




## Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23



#### Months


With 53.6% of children achieving permanency within 12 months for children in foster care 12-23 months, Florida exceeds the national standard of 43.6%.



#### Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months


#### Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

Statewide performance continues to exceed the national average with 20 of 20 CBCs achieving standard.




#### Children in Care 12-23 Months on 4/1/2016 Who Achieved Permanency Within an Additional 12 Months

Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months With 40.2% of children achieving permanency within 12 months for children in foster care 24+ months, Florida exceeds the national standard of 30.3%.



Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

Statewide performance of 40.2% is high with all but one area meeting the 30.3% national standard.



#### Children in Care 24+ Months on 4/1/2016 Who Achieved Permanency Within an Additional 12 Months

Statewide Trend: Re-Entry into OHC Within 12 Months of Achieving Permanency

Statewide performance for the most recent available guarter improved to 90.9%, narrowing in on the national standard of 91.7%.




#### Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

9 of 20 CBCs need improvement on this indicator in order to meet the national standard of 91.7%.



#### Children Removed January Through March 2015 Who Achieved Permanency within 12 Months and Did Not Re-Enter Care within the Subsequent 12 Months After Achieving Permanency




🐮 Office of Child Welfare-Performance and Quality Improvement

## Timeliness of Judicial Handling

These Children's Legal Services indicators are directly related to the indicators of timely permanency.


Reunification Goal After 15 Months and No Termination of Parental Rights Activity

The statewide average was 7.5% on March 31, 2017. Circuit goals are set for each period after review of baseline information.



Timeliness of Termination of Parental Rights, from Petition to Order


The statewide median was 154 days from July 2016 through March 2017. Circuit goals are set for each period after review of baseline information.



#### Median Days from Termination of Rights Petition to Entry of Final Order Children with TPR Final Orders July 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017

#### Time from Removal Date to Disposition Order


The statewide median is 58 days, much better than the statewide target of less than 90 days. Circuit goals are set for each six-month period after review of baseline information.



#### Maintaining Connections in Placement

#### Statewide Trend: Placement Stability

Statewide performance has been slightly better than the national standard of 4.12 moves per 1,000 days in foster care over the last few years, until increasing to 4.47 moves per 1,000 day.



#### Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

Family Support Services of North Fla

Community Partnership for Children

Partnership for Strong Families

Family Integrity Program

Kids First of Florida, Inc.

Heartland for Children

Community Based Care of Central Fla (Seminole)

Devereux CBC

Big Bend CBC

ChildNet-Broward

ChildNet-Palm Beach

Brevard Family Partnership

**CBC** Lead Agency




Source: FSFN OCWDRU Report #1102: "Placement Moves Per 1,000 Days in Foster Care"

6.00

7.00

Statewide performance, currently at 4.47 moves per 1,000 days in foster care, does not meet the national standard of 4.12 moves. There is wide variation among the lead agencies, with most meeting the standard.



4.07

4.06

4.00

3.52 National Standard: 4.12 Moves

5.00

3.80

3.76

3.73

3.63

3.48

3.35

3.29

3.13

3.00

Placement Moves Per 1,000 Days in Foster Care for Children Entering Foster Care

#### Statewide Trend: Placement of Siblings Group Together


0.00

Statewide performance has declined slightly over the last few years from around 66% to around 64%.

1.65

2.00


1.00



#### Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

#### Although statewide performance was at 63.9% on March 31, 2017 there is variation among the lead agencies.

#### Percent of Sibling Groups in Foster Care Where All Siblings Are Placed Together as of March 31, 2017




### Proximity of Placement to Maintain Connections

#### Children Placed Outside Removal County




36.1% of children are currently placed outside of their removal county, but there is wide variation across CBCs.


## Percent of Children in Licensed Out-of-Home Care Placed Outside of Removal County as of March 31, 2017



#### Children Placed Outside the Removal Circuit

The 19.3% currently placed outside of their removal circuit is lower than for the out-of-county indicator, as many children that are not placed in their home county are placed within their home circuit.






### **Placement in Family Settings**

#### Trends in Group Care by Age Report

The number of children aged 6-12 in group care has increased since 2014. There was an observed increase in group care for the recent quarter in ages 0-5, indicated in the draft data area. The following group care charts are indicated as modified as they are new tableau versions of the previous charts.










#### Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-5




Four lead agencies had 1.0% or less of these very young children placed in group care on March 31, 2017. This is a concerning change from the previous quarters eleven having less than 1%.





## Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 6-12

The percentage of children in group care increases with age, with values ranging from 37.2% to 9.5% across CBCs.




#### Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 13-17





#### Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-17

Statewide, one quarter of all children in licensed care aged 0-17 were placed in group care on March 31, 2017.



% of Children in Group Care




Mod

## Prescribed Psychotropic Medications

#### Children in Out-of-Home Care with Prescribed Psychotropic Medications

The statewide percentage of children in out-of-home care with at least one prescribed psychotropic medication on April 20, 2017 was 11.2%.


## Percentage of Children in Out-of-Home Care that were Prescribed at Least One Psychotropic Medication as of 4/20/2017



Children with Consent for Prescribed Psychotropic Medications

In order to administer psychotropic medication to a foster child, parental consent or a court order must be obtained, unless the child is receiving inpatient services or a physician certifies that delay would be likely to harm the child. The statewide percentage of children with at least one prescribed psychotropic medication on April 20, 2017 was 97.7%. This chart provides the number with no consent and percentage with consent.

Children in Out-of-Home Care that Were Prescribed at Least One Psychotropic Medication Percent with Consent Obtained as of 4/20/2017



Office of Child Welfare-Performance and Quality Improvement


## Dental Services

#### Children Receiving Dental Services in Last Seven Months



Considerable progress has been made in the last few years with medical and dental services, with the statewide percent of children receiving recent dental services at 92.7% as of March 31, 2017.

#### Percent of Children in Out-of-Home Care Who Received Dental Services within the Last Seven Months (All Placement Types, including Licensed and Kinship Care) -- as of March 31, 2017




## Education Program Enrollment

#### Young Adults Aging Out Enrolled in Education Programs

For children who don't achieve permanency prior to reaching adulthood, it is crucial that they are prepared for life after foster care by the time they "age out" of care. This is one indicator of that preparation for adulthood, but does not control for any of the many variables that influence this preparation, including time in care and the child's educational level when entering care.


#### Percent of Young Adults Aging Out of Foster Care Who Have Completed or are Enrolled in Secondary Education, Vocational Training, or Adult Education -- for Young Adults Aging Out between January 1 and March 31, 2017



### **Dually Served Youth**


Statewide Trend: Youths Served by CBC Lead Agencies and Juvenile Justice

The number of youths served by both the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system in April 2017 was 853.



#### Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

The number of youths served by both systems is variable among the lead agencies, but is related to the total number of youths served by each lead agency.




#### Youths Receiving DCF Case Management Services by Lead Agencies Who Are Also Served by DJJ

April 2017

## Missing Child


Average Number of Children Categorized as Missing from Care and Supervision As of March 2017, the average number of children categorized as missing was 208.4.



#### Child Reports Entered into FSFN within One Working Day


M U

There is wide variance in the total number of missing child reports that were entered within FSFN and the percentage of missing child reports entered into FSFN within one working day of a CBC learning that a child was missing across the CBCs. On average, of the 672 missing child reports 85.9% were entered into FSFN within one day of a CBC learning that a child was missing.



#### Resolution of reports within 3 days


The majority of missing child episodes are generally resolved quickly. Of the 672 missing child report that were entered into FSFN in March 2017, 68.0% were resolved within three days of a child's last contact date.



## Human Trafficking


#### Human Trafficking Intakes Trend

The trend in monthly number of Human Trafficking Reports (Initial and Additional) accepted by the Hotline continued to increase, while the trend in percent with no indicators continued the long-term gradual decline.



#### Children with Indication of Human Trafficking by CBC Lead Agency

The number of children in out-of-home care or receiving in-home services with at least one FSFN indicator of being a victim of Human Trafficking remains concentrated within Florida's most urban centers, primarily in the Gold Coast and Tampa Bay areas.




**Circuit and Lead Agency** 

## **Child Care and Domestic Violence**

### Child Care Regulation

#### Child Care Inspections per Month

For March 2017, 100% of home inspections were completed within 45 days and 99.9% of facility inspections were completed in 45 days.



#### Domestic Violence

#### Percent Victims Leaving a Shelter with a Family Safety and Security Plan

The percent of adult victims leaving a shelter after 72 hours with a Family Safety and Security Plan in March 2017 was 100%, continuing to exceed the target of 97%.

