

Child Welfare Key Indicators Monthly Report

August 2017

Including Updated Data through July 2017



*Review of the Child Welfare
System through key outcomes,
process, qualitative, workload
and resource indicators*

A Results-Oriented Accountability Report



Table of Contents

Graph and Table Symbols	6
Performance Glance	6
List of Symbols	6
DCF Service Structure.....	7
Regions, Circuits, Counties, and CBC Lead Agencies.....	7
System Overview.....	8
System of Care	9
Federal Child Welfare Indicators	10
Florida Abuse Hotline.....	11
Workload Trends.....	11
Reporting Method Trends.....	11
Seasonality of Hotline Workload	11
Screening Trends.....	12
Alleged Maltreatment Screening	12
Special Conditions Screening	12
Crime Intelligence Unit	13
Timeliness Trends	13
● Average and Maximum Times to Answer or Abandon	13
● Percent of Calls Abandoned	14
● Average Handling Time Trend Stratification	14
Hotline Counselor Workforce	15
Monthly Separations.....	15
Child Protective Investigators	16
Workload Trends.....	16
Investigative Workload: Incoming, Active, and Backlog Investigations.....	16
● Investigative Workload: Stratified by Region.....	16
Maltreatment Investigations and Special Conditions Referrals	17
Special Conditions: Stratified by Type and by County	17
● Statewide: More Than 15 Active Investigations	18
Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff’s Office.....	18
Active Investigations by Cohort	19
Timeliness Trends	19
● Statewide: Alleged Child Victims Seen Within 24 Hours.....	19

Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff’s Office.....	20
● Statewide: Child Investigations Completed Within 60 Days.....	20
Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff’s Office.....	21
Safety Determinations and Services Provided.....	21
Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination.....	21
Impending Danger Threats Identified by Safety Determination.....	22
Unsafe Children and Safe Children by Placement Service Type upon Investigation Closure.....	22
● Unsafe Children Receiving No Placement Services or Family Support Services Upon Investigative Closure and No Placement Services After Investigation Closure.....	23
● Statewide: Recurrence of Maltreatment After Verified Findings.....	24
● Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff’s Office.....	24
CPI Workforce.....	25
● Monthly Separations.....	25
Time in Classification.....	25
Flow from CPI to CBC Lead Agencies.....	26
Removals and Removal Rates.....	26
Statewide Trend.....	26
Removals Cumulative Count by SFY.....	26
Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and Sheriff’s Office.....	27
Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and CBC Lead Agency.....	27
Community-Based Care Lead Agencies.....	28
Caseload Indicators.....	28
Statewide Trends in Case-Managed Services.....	28
Services Mix.....	28
Removals and Discharges Compared to Out-of-Home Care Trend.....	29
Placement Types Compared to Children in Out-of-Home Care Trend.....	29
Family Functioning Assessment-Ongoing Implementation Map.....	30
Florida CQI and CFSR.....	31
Safety Indicators.....	31
● Child Safety Trends: Comparison of Three Indicators.....	31
Child Safety During Case-Managed In-Home Services.....	32
● Child Safety in Out-of-Home Care.....	32
Child Safety After Termination of Case-Managed Services.....	33
Child Safety After Termination of Family Support Services.....	33
Permanency Indicators.....	34
● Statewide Trend: Permanency Within 12 Months of Removal.....	34

● Stratification by CBC Lead Agency.....	34
● Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months	35
● Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months	35
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	35
● Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months	36
● Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	36
● Statewide Trend: Re-Entry into OHC Within 12 Months of Achieving Permanency.....	37
● Stratification by CBC Lead Agency.....	37
Timeliness of Judicial Handling	38
● Reunification Goal After 15 Months and No Termination of Parental Rights Activity.....	38
Timeliness of Termination of Parental Rights, from Petition to Order	38
● Time from Removal Date to Disposition Order.....	39
Maintaining Connections in Placement	39
● Statewide Trend: Placement Stability	39
● Stratification by CBC Lead Agency.....	40
● Statewide Trend: Placement of Siblings Group Together	40
● Stratification by CBC Lead Agency.....	41
Proximity of Placement to Maintain Connections	41
Children Placed Outside Removal County	41
Children Placed Outside the Removal Circuit	42
Placement in Family Settings	42
Trends in Group Care by Age Report	42
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-5.....	43
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 6-12.....	43
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 13-17.....	44
Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-17.....	44
Prescribed Psychotropic Medications.....	45
Children in Out-of-Home Care with Prescribed Psychotropic Medications	45
Children with Consent for Prescribed Psychotropic Medications	45
Dental Services.....	46
Children Receiving Dental Services in Last Seven Months.....	46
Education Program Enrollment.....	46
Young Adults Aging Out Enrolled in Education Programs.....	46
Dually Served Youth	47
Statewide Trend: Youths Served by CBC Lead Agencies and Juvenile Justice	47
Stratification by CBC Lead Agency	47



Missing Child	48
Average Number of Children Categorized as Missing from Care and Supervision.....	48
Child Reports Entered into FSFN within One Working Day	48
Resolution of reports within 3 days	49
Human Trafficking.....	49
Human Trafficking Intakes Trend.....	49
Children with Indication of Human Trafficking by CBC Lead Agency.....	50
Child Care and Domestic Violence	51
Child Care Regulation.....	51
● Child Care Inspections per Month.....	51
Domestic Violence	51
● Percent Victims Leaving a Shelter with a Family Safety and Security Plan.....	51
Appendix	52

Graph and Table Symbols

Performance Glance

Performance indicators have been added to the titles and table of contents to assist the reader in quickly determining current performance in specific areas.


- Green dots before the titles indicate that either statewide/national targets are being met or for those measures where a target is not applicable, the previous 3 month trend is either stable or moving in the right direction.
- Red dots before the titles indicate that either statewide/national targets are not being met or for those measures where a target is not applicable, the previous 3 month trend is moving in the wrong direction.

List of Symbols

M	Monthly
Q	Quarterly
A	Annually

DCF Service Structure

Regions, Circuits, Counties, and CBC Lead Agencies



System Overview

Florida's community-based child welfare system is comprised of a partnership between the Department of Children and Families (DCF), other state agencies, the courts, law enforcement agencies, service providers, and local communities.

There are over four million children in Florida of which most fortunately do not come to the attention of the system. For those children who do enter the system, entry begins with reports of allegations of abuse or neglect made to the Florida Abuse Hotline, operated by DCF. The Florida Abuse Hotline receives in excess of 350,000 child-related calls annually. Calls received are screened to determine if the criteria are met to initiate a protective investigation.


If criteria are met, the report is referred to a local Child Protective Investigations (CPI). Statewide there are over 220,000 protective investigations conducted annually. These investigations are conducted by DCF in 61 counties. In the remaining 6 counties, including Broward, Hillsborough, Manatee, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole, county sheriff's offices perform the investigations. Investigators determine the need for post-investigation services, including protecting the child through removal and placement in out-of-home care or through case-managed, in-home services (by court order or non-judicially), or through informal voluntary family support services. For SFY 2016-2017, over 35,000 children were served in out-of-home care.

Florida statute requires that post-investigation services be provided through contracting with community-based care lead agencies (CBCs). There are 17 Community-Based Care (CBC) lead agencies that each provide coverage to specific geographic areas within the 20 Judicial Circuits in Florida. Several lead agencies cover more than one geographic area and areas may include one or multiple counties. In three instances, the CBC lead agency serves two geographic areas under separate contracts, lending to 20 lead agencies displayed within the CBC tables of this report. Although services vary among CBC lead agencies, they have a shared role in participating and ensuring safety, permanency, and well-being for all children in the state.

The monthly Child Welfare Key Indicators Report presents data for the Florida Abuse Hotline, Child Protective Investigations, CBC lead agencies, as well as other key metrics describing the state of the Florida Child Welfare System.

System of Care

Florida Child Protection System Overview 2016-17 SFY




<u>Total Exits from Out of Home Care</u>		<u>15,339</u>
Reunifications	7,437	
Adoptions	3,545	
Guardianship	3,301	
Aging Out	948	
Other Exits	108	

Data Sources; Florida Abuse Hotline Summary Report and Children and Young Adults Receiving Services by CBC Lead Agency and Type of Service

Federal Child Welfare Indicators

Federal Child Welfare Indicators Statewide Quarter 1 FY 2016 to Quarter 1 FY 2017

■ Not Meeting Standard ■ Meeting/Exceeding Standard



Data Source: DCF OCW Dashboard

***Black Belt projects were conducted on the three Federal Indicators above where performance is not meeting the national target. The projects were completed in conjunction with state child welfare partners and are being routed to the Florida Institute for Child Welfare for research review as outlined in Florida's continuous quality improvement process.


Florida Abuse Hotline

Workload Trends

Reporting Method Trends

M


The Florida Abuse Hotline offers a variety of methods for citizens to report concerns about children and vulnerable adults. A modification was made within the system, resulting in the tracking of webs and faxes together. Webs were previously represented by the green line within the graph below, however beginning in March 2017, webs were combined with faxes, now represented by the red line.



Seasonality of Hotline Workload

M

There were 48,362 total contacts offered for the month of July. "Contacts Offered" include those abandoned by a caller who called back, so both attempts and completed calls from the same individual may be counted.




Screening Trends

Alleged Maltreatment Screening

M

Total child maltreatment allegations for August 2017 was 28,278. In August 2017, 76.5% of child maltreatment allegations reported were screened-in.

Child Maltreatment Allegations: Total, Screened-In, Screened-Out, and Percentage Screened-In




Special Conditions Screening

M

There were 1,635 screened-in special conditions for August 2017.


Special Conditions: Total, Screened-In, Screened-Out, and Percentage Screened-In



Crime Intelligence Unit

M

The included chart contains Crime Intelligence Unit counts for planned placements, emergency placements, additional, rechecks, and initials.




Timeliness Trends

M

● Average and Maximum Times to Answer or Abandon


The average wait time before answer decreased in August 2017 (2 minutes 51 seconds). 97% of call were answered in 10 minutes or less, just shy of meeting the 98% target.



● Percent of Calls Abandoned

M


The percent of calls to the Florida Abuse Hotline that are abandoned before answer increased to 10.6% for August 2017 as well as the percent of Spanish Language Line abandoned calls increased to 22.0%.



● Average Handling Time Trend Stratification

M

The Average Handling Time (AHT) of a counselor includes talk time (ACD) and after call work (ACW). Average talk time fell just below the 12 minute level while ACW continued below the 22 minute level.




Hotline Counselor Workforce

Monthly Separations

The Florida Abuse Hotline had 8 counselor separations in July 2017.

M




Child Protective Investigators

Workload Trends

Investigative Workload: Incoming, Active, and Backlog Investigations

M


There was a decrease in the number of incoming investigations for July 2017 (14,277). Active investigations as of the last day of the month were at 19,222. Backlog decreased in July 2017 (662).



Investigative Workload: Stratified by Region


M

A considerable increase was observed in the percentage of backlog cases in 2 of 6 regions during June 2017. Notably, one region demonstrated a significant decrease from May to June 2017.




Maltreatment Investigations and Special Conditions Referrals

The trends for both Alleged Maltreatment Investigations and Special Conditions Referrals continue to increase in conjunction with the number of child maltreatment allegations. Consistent with the observed seasonality, there was a continued decline in both the number of alleged maltreatment investigations and special condition referrals in July 2017.



Special Conditions: Stratified by Type and by County


As observed in the data, the increasing trend in special conditions is largely due to the increase in child-on-child referrals. All other special condition types have remained fairly flat.



Statewide: More Than 15 Active Investigations

M

The statewide percent of CPIs with 15 or more active investigations as of July 31, 2017 was 32.8%. The red zone as indicated on the CPI Monthly Scorecard is above 40%.



Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office

M

In 15 of 23 areas, the standard of 40% was met as of the 31st of July, effectively maintaining green for Statewide.


Percent of CPIs with 15+ Active Investigations as of Last Day of the Month by SFY																	
Area	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18											
						7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6
DCF-Circuit 01	28.9%	37.3%	44.5%	51.4%	61.0%	52.8%											
DCF-Circuit 02	26.3%	33.3%	55.5%	57.3%	50.5%	41.5%											
DCF-Circuit 03	20.9%	22.9%	52.8%	60.3%	52.2%	50.0%											
DCF-Circuit 04	18.8%	27.3%	39.6%	43.7%	41.6%	26.2%											
DCF-Circuit 05	12.5%	28.9%	51.0%	54.9%	55.4%	29.9%											
SO-Pasco Circuit 06	38.8%	28.6%	50.8%	61.9%	63.3%	55.6%											
SO-Pinellas Circuit 06	23.4%	10.5%	15.0%	17.8%	9.4%	5.2%											
DCF-Circuit 07	14.4%	15.4%	57.3%	63.7%	47.9%	45.6%											
DCF-Circuit 08	20.5%	25.9%	45.4%	57.8%	46.2%	27.9%											
DCF-Circuit 09	10.4%	34.3%	52.4%	49.8%	51.8%	20.4%											
DCF-Circuit 10	28.0%	45.1%	54.7%	52.0%	54.1%	18.7%											
DCF-Circuit 11	32.4%	54.2%	37.8%	45.6%	58.0%	34.4%											
DCF-Circuit 12 (Desoto-Sarasota)	23.4%	38.9%	52.0%	70.0%	60.6%	54.5%											
SO-Manatee Circuit 12	10.8%	11.0%	24.4%	45.1%	31.9%	0.0%											
SO-Hillsborough Circuit 13	53.1%	51.2%	47.0%	56.8%	52.9%	37.1%											
DCF-Circuit 14	22.3%	31.0%	48.9%	59.9%	53.7%	43.2%											
DCF-Circuit 15	20.0%	42.5%	38.3%	37.0%	46.3%	20.8%											
DCF-Circuit 16	3.0%	3.7%	0.0%	17.2%	13.3%	0.0%											
SO-Broward Circuit 17	40.3%	67.4%	71.3%	72.0%	72.8%	68.8%											
DCF-Circuit 18 (Brevard Only)	9.5%	28.7%	45.7%	48.7%	55.2%	37.0%											
SO-Seminole Circuit 18	23.8%	18.4%	19.9%	11.7%	11.2%	0.0%											
DCF-Circuit 19	7.0%	36.1%	36.9%	43.5%	47.1%	38.6%											
DCF-Circuit 20	58.7%	61.0%	51.6%	50.3%	55.6%	39.8%											
Statewide	25.1%	36.4%	45.3%	49.6%	49.8%	32.8%											

Data Source: OCW Interim Dashboard

Active Investigations by Cohort

M

Department-wide efforts to ensure that no DCF CPI is carrying a case load in excess of 26 cases have been extremely successful. As of September 19, 2017, only 10 CPIs were carrying a caseload in excess of 26 cases.




Timeliness Trends

Statewide: Alleged Child Victims Seen Within 24 Hours

M

The statewide percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours has decreased to 86.2%. The statewide target is 85% whereas the PIP target is 91.5%.



Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office

M

18 of 23 DCF circuits and sheriff's offices were at 85% level or higher in July 2017.


Area	Percent of Children Seen in 24 Hours by SFY						2017-18										
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6
DCF-Circuit 01	93.5%	91.6%	92.6%	88.9%	82.2%	80.6%											
DCF-Circuit 02	93.5%	91.1%	88.2%	85.7%	78.7%	87.3%											
DCF-Circuit 03	94.2%	91.4%	87.1%	78.4%	86.1%	88.9%											
DCF-Circuit 04	94.5%	93.5%	91.6%	89.8%	87.0%	86.9%											
DCF-Circuit 05	96.4%	96.3%	94.3%	93.4%	86.9%	85.9%											
SO-Pasco Circuit 06	92.7%	94.5%	92.5%	90.0%	86.7%	89.9%											
SO-Pinellas Circuit 06	96.1%	97.0%	96.4%	94.8%	93.0%	88.5%											
DCF-Circuit 07	95.5%	96.0%	93.8%	89.7%	87.2%	88.0%											
DCF-Circuit 08	95.1%	93.5%	88.1%	81.6%	81.2%	85.1%											
DCF-Circuit 09	94.7%	93.9%	92.8%	90.3%	85.8%	81.7%											
DCF-Circuit 10	95.9%	95.1%	95.1%	93.0%	90.2%	90.6%											
DCF-Circuit 11	96.1%	91.0%	89.3%	87.7%	80.6%	82.7%											
DCF-Circuit 12 (Desoto-Sarasota)	92.5%	91.1%	87.6%	83.4%	84.3%	71.4%											
SO-Manatee Circuit 12	96.2%	96.1%	94.0%	92.9%	93.9%	91.3%											
SO-Hillsborough Circuit 13	93.3%	95.0%	94.9%	91.6%	90.1%	89.5%											
DCF-Circuit 14	94.0%	95.4%	91.7%	87.7%	84.3%	85.4%											
DCF-Circuit 15	95.2%	95.6%	95.5%	93.8%	90.6%	90.3%											
DCF-Circuit 16	94.6%	96.1%	95.8%	88.2%	84.4%	93.6%											
SO-Broward Circuit 17	92.5%	90.0%	89.1%	88.4%	83.1%	86.5%											
DCF-Circuit 18 (Brevard Only)	97.0%	96.3%	94.3%	93.5%	88.2%	90.8%											
SO-Seminole Circuit 18	93.3%	92.3%	92.9%	90.9%	87.8%	85.4%											
DCF-Circuit 19	96.7%	96.2%	96.0%	94.5%	92.6%	88.7%											
DCF-Circuit 20	93.3%	91.6%	89.3%	87.2%	87.9%	84.1%											
Statewide	94.7%	93.9%	92.5%	90.1%	86.8%	86.2%											

Data Source: OCW Interim Dashboard

Statewide: Child Investigations Completed Within 60 Days

M

In May 2017, the statewide percent of investigations completed within 60 days increased to 95.5%, ending the previous three month decline though continuing to fall below the 99% target.



Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office

M

In 3 of 23 areas, the 99% target was met for the percent of child investigations completed within 60 days for May 2017.

Area	Percent of Children Seen 24 Hours by SFY														
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17										
					7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5
DCF-Circuit 01	99.5%	98.9%	98.1%	86.5%	63.6%	65.5%	84.4%	90.9%	90.9%	90.9%	94.1%	95.2%	87.4%	81.3%	87.9%
DCF-Circuit 02	98.7%	94.1%	59.4%	55.3%	54.6%	63.6%	69.7%	77.0%	85.4%	86.4%	86.5%	93.5%	87.8%	89.8%	90.6%
DCF-Circuit 03	99.7%	97.8%	83.0%	78.5%	93.2%	94.4%	89.9%	92.5%	93.9%	87.4%	94.3%	89.1%	90.6%	83.1%	86.3%
DCF-Circuit 04	99.8%	99.4%	97.0%	95.8%	98.0%	96.4%	97.6%	96.2%	97.0%	96.4%	97.7%	96.0%	95.6%	97.2%	96.1%
DCF-Circuit 05	99.7%	98.5%	93.3%	96.2%	90.2%	86.0%	88.5%	92.4%	84.7%	84.7%	94.0%	95.2%	93.8%	92.8%	92.4%
SO-Pasco Circuit 06	99.2%	99.2%	97.0%	97.1%	97.4%	99.3%	96.2%	97.9%	96.9%	97.4%	98.4%	98.9%	98.1%	98.5%	99.1%
SO-Pinellas Circuit 06	99.7%	99.7%	99.1%	99.3%	99.0%	99.3%	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%	99.7%	99.6%	99.7%	100.0%	99.5%	99.3%
DCF-Circuit 07	99.8%	98.9%	82.7%	78.0%	95.6%	92.4%	92.9%	93.0%	93.9%	95.0%	93.6%	89.7%	92.7%	94.1%	97.1%
DCF-Circuit 08	99.5%	98.1%	85.7%	86.3%	91.7%	91.0%	91.0%	91.4%	89.0%	93.7%	96.3%	93.5%	96.6%	94.5%	94.8%
DCF-Circuit 09	99.7%	97.7%	94.9%	95.2%	92.9%	91.1%	91.5%	92.6%	91.0%	91.3%	94.5%	95.4%	94.9%	96.5%	95.5%
DCF-Circuit 10	99.4%	98.1%	95.9%	95.4%	97.0%	95.2%	95.2%	96.2%	95.1%	96.0%	97.0%	95.1%	96.2%	97.4%	98.0%
DCF-Circuit 11	98.6%	91.2%	96.6%	92.6%	95.2%	95.1%	94.7%	93.7%	91.2%	94.3%	94.6%	98.9%	92.9%	93.2%	98.1%
DCF-Circuit 12 (Desoto-Sarasota)	98.7%	95.6%	96.0%	89.5%	91.5%	85.0%	84.1%	86.4%	89.9%	92.0%	93.2%	95.1%	77.4%	79.7%	87.2%
SO-Manatee Circuit 12	98.8%	99.1%	94.2%	91.4%	94.2%	94.4%	92.5%	94.7%	93.8%	94.1%	96.9%	100.0%	98.6%	98.8%	98.6%
SO-Hillsborough Circuit 13	98.6%	98.9%	98.6%	97.7%	98.2%	99.0%	98.7%	98.8%	98.2%	98.4%	99.1%	92.7%	98.6%	99.2%	99.1%
DCF-Circuit 14	98.4%	90.5%	87.3%	73.1%	56.3%	62.1%	78.5%	85.6%	89.7%	95.9%	85.9%	95.7%	86.6%	83.3%	82.9%
DCF-Circuit 15	99.7%	99.3%	98.5%	96.8%	95.1%	90.0%	85.4%	84.7%	91.6%	93.6%	95.4%	95.8%	95.3%	95.7%	94.6%
DCF-Circuit 16	97.8%	94.7%	97.6%	79.4%	96.4%	92.6%	93.6%	96.4%	98.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	97.9%
SO-Broward Circuit 17	99.4%	97.9%	99.0%	99.3%	99.5%	98.8%	99.2%	98.7%	98.7%	99.6%	98.9%	98.1%	99.2%	99.3%	98.9%
DCF-Circuit 18 (Brevard Only)	99.7%	97.3%	89.1%	90.0%	88.5%	79.7%	81.3%	87.4%	88.1%	81.8%	94.6%	94.3%	94.5%	92.9%	92.6%
SO-Seminole Circuit 18	97.2%	94.0%	91.1%	93.9%	95.4%	94.0%	94.9%	92.4%	93.0%	97.1%	97.0%	79.3%	97.9%	97.3%	98.1%
DCF-Circuit 19	99.8%	99.4%	97.4%	96.1%	88.5%	85.1%	87.3%	96.5%	97.3%	96.4%	98.7%	96.5%	97.9%	96.8%	96.3%
DCF-Circuit 20	99.0%	98.6%	98.5%	96.9%	97.0%	98.5%	98.4%	98.2%	97.8%	96.6%	96.8%	94.9%	96.9%	96.7%	97.7%
Statewide	99.3%	97.7%	94.3%	92.3%	91.7%	90.5%	92.2%	93.6%	93.5%	94.0%	95.9%	95.1%	94.8%	94.7%	95.5%

Data Source: OCW Interim Dashboard

Safety Determinations and Services Provided

These Tableau visualizations are for investigations closed between June 23, 2017 and August 22, 2017.


Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination

M

This visualization indicates that some children have been determined "unsafe," yet have no impending danger (pink), while others are determined "safe," yet there is impending danger (dark blue).

Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination for Investigations Closed between June 23, 2017 and August 22, 2017


Percent of FFAs - Investigation by Impending Danger Threat and Safety Determination



Impending Danger Threats Identified by Safety Determination

M

This Tableau visualization indicates the percentage of the identified Impending Danger Threats for both safe and unsafe children, by region.




Unsafe Children and Safe Children by Placement Service Type upon Investigation Closure

M


These Tableau visualizations reflect investigations closed between June 23, 2017 and August 22, 2017.

- The visualization on the top indicates that some children have been determined “unsafe,” yet have no placement services (red) or are indicated as receiving Family Support Services (lightest blue).
- The visualization on the bottom indicates that some children have been determined “safe,” yet were placed in out-of-home care (violet) or received case-managed in-home services (dark purple).

Percent of Unsafe Children by Placement Service Type or Family Support Services Upon Investigative Closure




Percent of Safe Children by Placement Service Type or Family Support Service Upon Investigative Closure



● Unsafe Children Receiving No Placement Services or Family Support Services Upon Investigative Closure and No Placement Services After Investigation Closure


M

The following chart provides a trend view of the number of children who have been deemed unsafe, by month of investigation closure, who are receiving Family Support Services or no placement services as opposed to ongoing, case-managed services. Each month's data is finalized on the third pull, allowing for a grace period of around 75 days for data entry. The No Subsequent Services Provided After Investigation Closure line is a drill down on the children not receiving placement services upon investigative closure. Additionally, a new line has been added to identify if there was a face-to-face contact made after investigation closure for those children that had no placement services at investigation closure. In July 2017, although 136 children were not receiving placement services upon investigation closure, 62 of them received placement services and 67 had face-to-face contacts after investigation closure.




Statewide: Recurrence of Maltreatment After Verified Findings

Recurrence within 12 months has consistently been within a percentage point since October 2013 and is currently at 8.2%.



Stratification by DCF Circuit and Sheriff's Office

There is wide variation among the circuits and sheriff's offices on this indicator. However, it should be noted variation in rates is influenced by both reporting and verification rates.




CPI Workforce

Monthly Separations

M


Child Protective Investigator separations were 41 for the month of July 2017.



Time in Classification

M

Consistent with recent trends, at the point in time of the data pull, 25.7% of CPIs positions were vacant or had less than six months experience and 50.0% were vacant or had less than 1 year experience.



Flow from CPI to CBC Lead Agencies


Removals and Removal Rates

Statewide Trend

M

1,331 children were removed in July 2017, which was fairly consistent with June 2017 (1,228).

Number of Children Removed from their Families and Removal Rate per 100 Children Investigated Statewide




Data Source: FSN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Removals Cumulative Count by SFY

M

The year over year cumulative count of removals for SFY 2017-2018 began similarly to previous years with 1,331 for July 2017.

Cumulative Count of Removals



	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
FY 2012	1,176	1,564	1,324	1,347	1,202	1,230	1,253	1,366	1,363	1,364	1,388	1,129
FY 2013	1,228	1,270	1,153	1,229	1,036	1,003	1,246	1,018	1,164	1,180	1,103	1,023
FY 2014	1,166	1,397	1,244	1,456	1,138	1,122	1,271	1,141	1,294	1,564	1,528	1,164
FY 2015	1,249	1,369	1,243	1,628	1,176	1,288	1,451	1,533	1,596	1,711	1,403	1,343
FY 2016	1,338	1,489	1,454	1,588	1,267	1,375	1,460	1,387	1,351	1,446	1,529	1,457
FY 2017	1,297	1,656	1,587	1,475	1,362	1,252	1,374	1,284	1,501	1,392	1,548	1,329
FY 2018	1,331											


Data Source: FSN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source



Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and Sheriff's Office

Q


There remains wide variation among circuits and sheriff's offices on this indicator. Removal rates range from 3.1 to 10.1 per 100 children investigated.



Quarterly Stratification by Circuit and CBC Lead Agency

Q

There remains wide variation among the circuits and CBC lead agencies for this indicator.



Community-Based Care Lead Agencies


Caseload Indicators

Statewide Trends in Case-Managed Services

M

There were 24,189 children in out-of-home (OHC) care on July 31, 2017, consistent with the upward trend in OHC observed since the middle of 2013. The trend for children receiving in-home services has remained relatively flat for three years, with 11,783 children receiving in-home services on July 31, 2017.

Children Protected: In-Home vs. Out-of-Home Care




Data Source: FSN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Services Mix

M

There continues to be variation among circuits in regards to in-home and out-of-home care services, with the widest variation continuing to occur in use of Family Support Services.


Children Receiving Service by Type on 6/30/2017-- Rates per 1000 Child Population



Data Source: FSN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Removals and Discharges Compared to Out-of-Home Care Trend


The number of discharges demonstrated a sharp decrease to 1086 while removals remained flat at 1,331 for July 2017.



Data Source: FSN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Placement Types Compared to Children in Out-of-Home Care Trend

On July 31, 2017, there were 24,189 children in out-of-home care with 10,844 in relative care placement, 7,011 in a licensed family foster home, 2,834 in non-relative care, 2,198 in licensed group care, and 1,172 in other placement.




Data Source: FSN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Last Updated: 8/14/2017

Family Functioning Assessment-Ongoing Implementation Map

This Tableau map provides county-specific percentages, as well as percentages by region. Please note that this map is a snapshot in time and does not reflect closed cases that utilized an FFA ongoing. Zero percent for some counties may result from a small number of cases or cases assigned to other counties. It is important to note the change in the scale from previous months.

Ongoing Services Safety Methodology Implementation Status as of 8/30/2017



Source: FSFN report Active Cases with an Approved FFA-Ongoing – OCWRU #1084
Notes: Small number of cases assigned to the county will affect percentages. Based on location of primary worker. Counties with no cases assigned to them will not appear in the map.

The following table has been added providing statewide and lead agency outcome ratings from FLCQI and CFSR data around Safety, Permanency, and Well-being.

Assessment of Child Welfare Practice	Number of Cases in Sample	Safety Outcome 1 Outcome Rating		Safety Outcome 2 Outcome Rating		Permanency Outcome 1 Outcome Rating		Permanency Outcome 2 Outcome Rating		Well-Being Outcome 1 Outcome Rating		Well-Being Outcome 2 Outcome Rating		Well-Being Outcome 3 Outcome Rating	
		Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.		Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.		Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.		The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.		Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.		Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.		Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.	
		N	Sub. Achieved	N	Sub. Achieved	N	Sub. Achieved	N	Sub. Achieved	N	Sub. Achieved	N	Sub. Achieved	N	Sub. Achieved
Community Base Care Agency															
Big Bend	15	9	100%	15	87%	12	83%	12	100%	15	80%	7	100%	13	92%
Brevard	16	9	100%	16	94%	9	33%	9	22%	16	44%	7	86%	9	67%
CBC Central Fl Orange/Osceola	21	11	91%	21	90%	14	36%	14	64%	21	38%	11	91%	17	76%
CBC Central Fl Seminole	8	6	83%	8	88%	5	0%	5	80%	8	50%	5	80%	6	50%
ChildNet Broward	20	6	100%	20	95%	12	67%	12	67%	20	80%	12	83%	15	67%
ChildNet Palm Beach	21	11	91%	21	90%	13	92%	13	92%	21	90%	12	92%	17	82%
CNSWFL	20	13	92%	20	75%	13	77%	13	69%	20	45%	10	90%	19	63%
Community Partnership for Children	15	11	100%	15	93%	9	56%	9	67%	15	27%	9	67%	11	82%
Devereux	20	10	100%	20	60%	12	50%	12	83%	20	65%	12	67%	16	44%
ECA - Hillsborough	20	9	78%	20	95%	11	64%	11	91%	20	65%	9	67%	15	87%
ECA - Pinellas Pasco	20	12	100%	20	75%	12	67%	12	83%	20	45%	14	79%	17	71%
Families First Network	20	11	82%	20	35%	12	33%	12	58%	20	10%	11	64%	20	40%
Family Support Services of North Florida	22	14	93%	22	77%	12	92%	12	83%	22	73%	12	83%	18	89%
Heartland	20	6	67%	20	95%	12	83%	12	83%	20	60%	11	100%	16	94%
Kids Central	18	10	70%	18	67%	12	42%	12	83%	18	39%	9	78%	13	85%
Kids First of Florida	9	5	100%	9	78%	6	67%	6	67%	9	0%	3	100%	6	83%
Our Kids	20	5	100%	20	35%	12	33%	12	50%	20	20%	13	54%	17	35%
Partnership for Strong Families	15	7	71%	15	60%	9	11%	9	33%	15	20%	10	70%	13	62%
Sarasota YMCA	15	8	100%	15	67%	15	87%	15	93%	15	67%	13	92%	15	87%
St. Johns Cty Commission	8	6	83%	8	63%	5	80%	5	80%	8	50%	4	100%	7	86%
FL CQI Statewide (Reviews conducted Apr. 2017 - June 2017)	343	179	91%	343	76%	217	60%	217	74%	343	50%	194	80%	280	71%
FL CFSR Statewide (Reviews conducted April 2016 - September 2016)	80	47	91%	80	70%	55	49%	55	69%	80	40%	53	92%	67	75%


Safety Indicators

● Child Safety Trends: Comparison of Three Indicators

Children continue to be safer while receiving services than after termination of services:

- The percent of children with no verified maltreatment during case-managed in-home services has been steady at 97% for years, including in January through March 2017.
- The percent of children with no verified maltreatment within six months after termination of case-managed services was 95.6% for April through June 2016.
- The percent of children with no verified maltreatment within six months of termination of Family Support Services was at 93.8% for those closing in July through September 2016.


Safety of Children During Case-Managed In-Home Services and Within Six Months of Termination from Family Support Services and Case-Managed In-Home Services Statewide



Sources: FSFN reports #1109, #1115, and "Children with No Verified Maltreatment Within Six Months of Termination of Family Support Services"

Child Safety During Case-Managed In-Home Services
 Statewide performance for January through March 2017 was 97.0%.


Q



Child Safety in Out-of-Home Care

Q

This measure is generated by taking the total number of reports with at least one verified maltreatment and dividing it by the total number of days in foster care for all children, with the result multiplied by 100,000 to calculate the rate of victimization per 100,000 days in foster care. The data for this quarter continues to show wide variation among the CBCs, with statewide performance not meeting the national standard of 8.50 or less.




Child Safety After Termination of Case-Managed Services

Q

Statewide performance for services terminated in July through September 2016 was 95.5%.

Percent of Children Terminated from Case Managed Services in Quarter Who Were Not Maltreated within Six Months, for Children with Services Terminated July-September 2016




Child Safety After Termination of Family Support Services

Q

Statewide performance for services terminated in July through September 2016 was 93.8%.

Percent of Children Terminated from Family Support Services in Quarter Who Were Not Maltreated within Six Months, for Children with Services Terminated July-September 2016




Permanency Indicators

Statewide Trend: Permanency Within 12 Months of Removal




Permanency within 12 Months of Removal is our primary indicator of timely permanency. Statewide performance is at a current level of 41.0%, just above the 40.5% national standard.




Stratification by CBC Lead Agency



Ten of the lead agencies exceed the national standard of 40.5%.




● Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months
 With 53.3% of children achieving permanency within 12 months for children in foster care 12-23 months, Florida exceeds the national standard of 43.6%.



● Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months
 Stratification by CBC Lead Agency


Statewide performance continues to exceed the national average with 20 of 20 CBCs achieving standard.



● Children Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months




With 37.9% of children achieving permanency within 12 months for children in foster care 24+ months, Florida exceeds the national standard of 30.3%.



● Permanency Within 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months Stratification by CBC Lead Agency




Statewide performance is 37.9% and all but two areas meet or exceed the 30.3% national standard.




Statewide Trend: Re-Entry into OHC Within 12 Months of Achieving Permanency

Statewide performance for the most recent available quarter improved to 87.6%, furthering from the national standard of 91.7% from the previous quarter.



Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

3 of 20 CBCs met or exceeded the national standard of 91.7%.




Timeliness of Judicial Handling

These Children’s Legal Services indicators are directly related to the indicators of timely permanency.

● Reunification Goal After 15 Months and No Termination of Parental Rights Activity


M

The statewide average was 7.1% on July 31, 2017. Circuit goals are set for each period after review of baseline information.



Timeliness of Termination of Parental Rights, from Petition to Order


The statewide median was 154.5 days from July 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017. Circuit goals are set for each period after review of baseline information.



● Time from Removal Date to Disposition Order

M

The statewide median is 60 days, much better than the statewide target of less than 90 days. Circuit goals are set for each six-month period after review of baseline information.




Maintaining Connections in Placement

● Statewide Trend: Placement Stability

Q


Statewide performance has increased to 4.39 moves per 1,000 days whereas the national standard is 4.12 moves per 1,000 days in foster care.



● Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

Q


Statewide performance, currently at 4.39 moves per 1,000 days in foster care, does not meet the national standard of 4.12 moves. There is wide variation among the lead agencies, with most meeting the standard.



● Statewide Trend: Placement of Siblings Group Together

Q


Statewide performance has declined slightly over the last few years from around 66% to around 64%.



● Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

Although statewide performance was at 63.7% on June 30, 2017 there is variation among the lead agencies.

Q




Proximity of Placement to Maintain Connections

Children Placed Outside Removal County

Statewide, 35.8% of children are currently placed outside of their removal county, but there is wide variation across CBCs.


Q



Children Placed Outside the Removal Circuit

Q

The 18.8% currently placed outside of their removal circuit is lower than for the out-of-county indicator, as many children that are not placed in their home county are placed within their home circuit.




Placement in Family Settings

Trends in Group Care by Age Report

Q


The number of children aged 6-12 in group care has increased since 2014.




Data Source: FSN Data Repository. Tableau Data Source

In the following four Tableau views, the percentages reflect the number of children in licensed care (includes group care, licensed foster home, and residential treatment centers) who were placed in group care by CBC lead agency.

Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-5




Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 6-12



Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 13-17

Q

Percent of Children in Licensed Care Who Were Placed in Group Care as of June 30, 2017
Ages 13-17




Data Source: FSFN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source

Children in Group Care by Age Group: Ages 0-17

Q

Percent of Children in Licensed Care Who Were Placed in Group Care as of June 30, 2017
Ages All



Data Source: FSFN Data Repository, Tableau Data Source




Prescribed Psychotropic Medications

M

Children in Out-of-Home Care with Prescribed Psychotropic Medications

The statewide percentage of children in out-of-home care with at least one prescribed psychotropic medication on August 23, 2017 was 11.0%.

Percentage of Children in Out-of-Home Care that were Prescribed at Least One Psychotropic Medication as of 8/23/2017




Children with Consent for Prescribed Psychotropic Medications

M

In order to administer psychotropic medication to a foster child, parental consent or a court order must be obtained, unless the child is receiving inpatient services or a physician certifies that delay would be likely to harm the child. The statewide percentage of children with at least one prescribed psychotropic medication on August 23, 2017 was 98.8%. This chart provides the number with no consent and percentage with consent.

Children in Out-of-Home Care that Were Prescribed at Least One Psychotropic Medication Percent with Consent Obtained as of 8/23/2017




Dental Services

Children Receiving Dental Services in Last Seven Months



Considerable progress has been made in the last few years with medical and dental services, with the statewide percent of children receiving recent dental services at 92.1% as of June 30, 2017.

Percent of Children in Out-of-Home Care Who Received Dental Services within the Last Seven Months
(All Placement Types, including Licensed and Kinship Care) -- as of June 30, 2017




Education Program Enrollment

Young Adults Aging Out Enrolled in Education Programs



For children who don't achieve permanency prior to reaching adulthood, it is crucial that they are prepared for life after foster care by the time they "age out" of care. This is one indicator of that preparation for adulthood, but does not control for any of the many variables that influence this preparation, including time in care and the child's educational level when entering care.

Percent of Young Adults Aging Out of Foster Care Who Have Completed or are Enrolled in Secondary Education, Vocational Training, or Adult Education -- for Young Adults Aging Out between April 1 and June 30, 2017




Dually Served Youth

M

Statewide Trend: Youths Served by CBC Lead Agencies and Juvenile Justice


The number of youths served by both the child welfare system and the juvenile justice system in August 2017 was 981.



Stratification by CBC Lead Agency

M

The number of youths served by both systems is variable among the lead agencies, but is related to the total number of youths served by each lead agency.




Missing Child

M

Average Number of Children Categorized as Missing from Care and Supervision


As of July 2017, the average number of children categorized as missing was 239, a continued increase from May 2017.



Child Reports Entered into FSFN within One Working Day


M

There is wide variance in the total number of missing child reports that were entered within FSFN and the percentage of missing child reports entered into FSFN within one working day of a CBC learning that a child was missing across the CBCs. On average, of the 596 missing child reports 93.5% were entered into FSFN within one day of a CBC learning that a child was missing.



Resolution of reports within 3 days


The majority of missing child episodes are generally resolved quickly. Of the 596 missing child report that were entered into FSFN in July 2017, 67.4% were resolved within three days of a child’s last contact date.



Human Trafficking


Human Trafficking Intakes Trend

The trend in monthly number of Human Trafficking Reports (Initial and Additional) accepted by the Hotline continued to increase, while the trend in percent with no indicators continued the long-term gradual decline.



Children with Indication of Human Trafficking by CBC Lead Agency

The number of children in out-of-home care or receiving in-home services with at least one FSN indicator of being a victim of Human Trafficking remains concentrated within Florida’s most urban centers, primarily in the Gold Coast and Tampa Bay areas.




Child Care and Domestic Violence

Child Care Regulation

● Child Care Inspections per Month

For July 2017, 100% of both home inspections and 99.7% of facility inspections were completed within 45 days.

M




Domestic Violence

M

● Percent Victims Leaving a Shelter with a Family Safety and Security Plan

The percent of adult victims leaving a shelter after 72 hours with a Family Safety and Security Plan in July 2017 was 98%, continuing to exceed the target of 97%.



Appendix

Section	Process Owner	Chart	Page No.	Source	Algorithm
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Hotline Total Contacts and Trends in Reporting Methods	12	Avaya	English offered (Avaya) + Spanish offered (Avaya) + fax (Avaya) + web (was CRM, but now should just be Avaya) = total contacts (at the top of the summary data excel file)
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Seasonality	12	Avaya	Sum of [English offered (Avaya) + Spanish offered (Avaya) + fax (Avaya) + web (was CRM, but now should just be Avaya) = total contacts (at the top of the summary data excel file)]
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Child Maltreatment Allegations: Total, Screened-In, Screened-Out, and Percentage Screened-In	13	FSFN	Total count monthly of screened-in and screened-out; Sum of both screened-in and screened-out divided by individual count
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Special Conditions: Total, Screened-in, Screened-out, and Percentage Screened-In	13	FSFN	Total count monthly of screened-in and screened-out; Sum of both screened-in and screened-out divided by individual count
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Crime Intelligence Unit Counts of planned placements, emergency placements, additional, rechecks, and initials	14	Hotline Summary Report	Total count monthly of planned placements, emergency placements, additional, rechecks, and initials
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Average Time to Answer or Abandon English Line Only, Percentage of Calls Answered within 10 minutes	14	Avaya	Calculate total number of calls over 10 minutes and calculate percentage
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Percent of Calls Abandoned Before Answer: English and Spanish	15	Avaya	Avaya report
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Average Handling Time Stratified by Average Talk Time and Average After-Call Work Time	15	Verint	Verint Report
Hotline	Director of the Hotline	Hotline Separations	16	HR Data	Count of total separations
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Workload Trend: Incoming, Active and Backlog (Active > 60 Days)	17	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Incoming, Active, and Backlog
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Investigative Workload: Percent of Backlog Stratified by Region	17	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Active Investigations Divided By Distinct Number of Child Investigators (Workers)
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Trend: Alleged Maltreatment and Special Conditions (I and A)	18	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count of Initial and Additional Investigations and Special Condition Referrals
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Special Conditions by Type; Special Conditions Count by County and Month	18	FSFN Custom Report	Counts the number of special conditions by type: Child on Child; Parents Needs Assistance; Foster Care Referral, Caregiver Unavailable
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Workload Trend: More than 15 Active Investigations	19	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Investigators with more the 15 Investigations Assigned Divided By Distinct Number of Workers
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of CPI with More Than 15 Investigations Stratified by DCF & Sheriff's Office	19	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Investigators with more the 15 Investigations Assigned Divided By Distinct Number of Workers



CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Active Investigation by Cohort	20	OCW Child Investigations and Special Conditions Detail Report	The count of DCF/CBC investigative staff carrying an active investigation and the total count of total cases represented within each category. The percentage lines represent average total individuals carrying an active investigation and average total cases within each category.
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Timeliness Trend: Percent of Alleged Child Victims Seen Within 24 Hours	20	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Alleged Victims Seen With 24 Hours Divided by Total Number of Victims Received Report Period
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of Alleged Victims Seen in 24 Hours Stratified by DCF & Sheriff's Office	21	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Alleged Victims Seen With 24 Hours Divided by Total Number of Victims Received Report Period
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Timeliness Trend: Child Investigations Completed With 60 Days	21	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Investigations Closed Within 60 Days Divided By the Number of Investigation Received During Report Period
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of Child Investigations Completed With 60 Days Stratified by DCF & Sheriff's Office	22	OCW Interim Dashboard	Count Number of Investigations Closed Within 60 Days Divided By the Number of Investigation Received During Report Period
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination: Percent of FFAs	22	FSFN	Number of Investigations by Safety Determination and Impending Danger Status/Total Investigations If at least one child is determined Unsafe, the investigation is counted as Unsafe. If all children are determined Safe, then the investigation is counted as Safe. If any Impending Danger was identified, the investigation is counted as having Impending Danger; otherwise, the investigation is counted as No Impeding Danger.
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Impending Danger Threats and Safety Determination: Percent of FFAs	23	FSFN	Number of Investigations by Safety Determination with the Identified Impending Danger Threat/Total Investigations If at least one child is determined Unsafe, the investigation is counted as Unsafe. If all children are determined Safe, then the investigation is counted as Safe.
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Unsafe Children and Safe Children by Placement Service Type upon Investigative Closure	23	FSFN	Number of Unsafe Children by Service Type/Total Unsafe Children Number of Safe Children by Service Type/Total Safe Children
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Unsafe Children Receiving No Placement Service or FSS	24	OCWDRU Report #1204	The red line shows, within that month, the number of children not receiving placement services. The dashed red line shows, the number of children not receiving subsequent placement services <u>after</u> investigation closure, of the children not receiving placement services upon investigation closure. The darker red dashed line identifies the number of unsafe children with no placement services that have face to face contact after investigation closure. The blue line shows the number of children receiving FSS upon investigation closure.
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Safety Outcome Trend: Verified Recurrence of Maltreatment Within 12 Months of Verified Findings	25	Non-Recurrence Spinner Report	Count Number of Verified Maltreatments for specific Victims Divided By Number of Recurrence Maltreatments Within 12 Months for the Same Victim
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of Verified Recurrence of Maltreatment Within 12 Months of Verified Findings Stratified by DCF & Sheriff's Office	25	Non-Recurrence Spinner Report	Count Number of Verified Maltreatments for specific Victims Divided By Number of Recurrence Maltreatments Within 12 Months for the Same Victim



CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	CPI Separations	26	HR Data	Count of CPI total separations
CPI	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	CPI Time in Class	26	HR Data	Total of CPIS by months in current position
Flow from CPI to CBC	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Trend: Children Removed & Removal Rate	27	Child Welfare Service and Child Protective Investigations Trend Report	-Number of children removed in the month -Removal Rate (number of children removed/children investigated *100) -12 month moving average for both lines
Flow from CPI to CBC	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Cumulative Count of Removals	27	Child Welfare Service Trend Reports	Cumulative count of removals within SFY
Flow from CPI to CBC	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Removal Rate by Circuit & Sheriff Only	28	Child Welfare Service and Child Protective Investigations Trend Report	Number of children removed/children investigated in quarter *100 by Circuit and Sheriff Office
Flow from CPI to CBC	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Removal Rate by CBC Lead Agency Only	28	Child Welfare Service and Child Protective Investigations Trend Report	Number of children removed/children investigated in quarter *100 by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Total Children Protected: In Home and OOHC	29	Child Welfare Services Trend Report	Line graph of the number of children in OHC and In-Home Care as of the last day of the month
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Children Receiving Services by Type	29	Child Welfare Service Trend Report and OCWDRU Report #1004	The count of OHC, Case Managed In-Home Services, and FSS is divided by the child population for lead agency and multiplied by 1,000 to create a rate
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Out of Home Care, Removals and Discharges	30	Child Welfare Services Trend Report	Number of children in OHC (on the last day of the month), removed, and discharged (within the month)
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Children in OOHC by Placement Type	30	Tableau Data Source	Number of children in OHC by placement type
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	FFA Assessment- Ongoing Implementation Map	31	OCWDRU # 1084	Percent of Total Cases with an Approved FFA-Ongoing
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	SW Trend: Comparison of Three Indicators	32	OCWDRU Report #1109, #1114, and "Children with No Verified Maltreatment Within Six Months of Termination of FSS"	Percent of children with no verified maltreated during case managed in-home services, after 6 months of termination of case-managed in-home services, and after 6 months of termination of FSS by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent Not Maltreated During In-Home Svcs	32	OCWDRU Report #1109	Percent of children receiving in-home services in quarter who were not maltreated during services by CBC

CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Rate of Foster Children w/Verify Maltreatment per 100K Bed-Days	33	OCWDRU Report #1106	Rate of foster care children with a verified maltreatment per 100,000 days in care (reports/total number of bed days in foster care for all children * 100,000) by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent not Maltreated for 6 Mos after Terminated from Case Managed Services	33	OCWDRU Report #1115	Percent of children terminated from case managed services in quarter who were not maltreated within 6 months by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent not Maltreated for 6 Mos after Terminated from Family Support Services	34	OCWDRU Report "Children with No Verified Maltreatment Within Six Months of Termination of Family Support Services"	Percent of children terminated from family support services in quarter who were not maltreated within 6 months by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Permanency within 12 Mos of Removal by Cal. Quarter -- Line Graph	34	OCWDRU Report #1118	Line graph of the percent of children achieving permanency within 12 months for children removed during that quarter
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Permanency within 12 Mos of Removal by Cal. Quarter -- Bar Chart	35	OCWDRU Report #1118	Select all children with a new removal episode during the report period where the removal episode was at least eight (8) days in duration. Exclude any removal episode ending with a discharge reason of "Dismissed by Court." Exclude any removal episode where the child was eighteen (18) years of age before the beginning of the report period. If a child has multiple removal episodes that meet the above criteria, select only the first such removal episode in the report period. A child is flagged as "Yes" in the Numerator column if the child achieved permanency such that their permanency date is less than twelve (12) months from the removal date of the removal episode. Children are assigned to the Lead Agency based on the primary case worker as of the earlier of the discharge date of the removal episode or twelve (12) months after the removal date. Only children with a worker whose agency type is "CBC Lead Agency" are included in this listing. <u>Same criteria for 12-23 and 24+ month children</u>
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Permanency within 12 Add'l Mos for Ch. in Care 12-23 Mos, by Calendar Quarter- Line Graph	35	OCWDRU Report #1137	See permanency measure criteria for within 12 months above but this measure deals with all children in out of home care 12-23 months as of report date
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Permanency within 12 Add'l Mos for Ch. in Care 12-23 Mos, by Calendar Quarter- Bar Chart	36	OCWDRU Report #1137	See permanency measure criteria for within 12 months above but this measure deals with all children in out of home care 12-23 months as of report date by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Permanency within 12 Add'l Mos for Ch. in Care 24+ Mos, by Calendar Quarter- Line Graph	36	OCWDRU Report #1196	See permanency measure criteria for within 12 months above but this measure deals with all children in out of home care 24+ months as of report date
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Permanency within 12 Add'l Mos for Ch. in Care 24+ Mos, by Calendar Quarter- Bar Chart	37	OCWDRU Report #1196	See permanency measure criteria for within 12 months above but this measure deals with all children in out of home care 24+ months as of report date by CBC

CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Children Who Achieved Permanency within 12 Mos and Did Not Re-enter within 12 Mos by Calendar Quarter -- Line Graph	37	OCWDRU Report #1099	Percent of children achieving permanency within 12 months of removal who did not re-enter care within 12 months of achieving permanency
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Children Who Achieved Permanency within 12 Mos and Did Not Re-enter within 12 Mos by Calendar Quarter -- Bar Chart	38	OCWDRU Report #1099	Percent of children achieving permanency within 12 months of removal who did not re-enter care within 12 months of achieving permanency by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	CLS Director	Reunification Goal After 15 Months and No Termination of Parental Rights Activity	38	CLS Data	Percentage of children with reunification goal extended past 15 months and no TPR activity of children active on last day of month
CBC Lead Agencies	CLS Director	Median Days from Termination of Rights Petition to Entry of Final Order	39	CLS Data	Median time from Termination of Rights Petition to Entry of Final Order for all children removed
CBC Lead Agencies	CLS Director	Median Days from Shelter to Disposition	39	CLS Data	Median time from shelter to disposition for all children removed
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Placement Moves per 1,000 Days, by Cal Qtr. -- Line Graph	40	OCWDRU Report #1102	Placement moves per 1,000 days in foster care (moves/bed days *1,000)
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Placement Moves per 1,000 Days, by Cal Qtr. -- Bar Chart	40	OCWDRU Report #1102	Placement moves per 1,000 days in foster care (moves/bed days *1,000) by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Sibling Groups Placed Together, by Cal Qtr. -- Line Graph	41	OCWDRU Report #1124	Percent of Sibling Groups in Foster Care where all siblings are placed together
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Sibling Groups Placed Together, by Cal Qtr. -- Bar Chart	41	OCWDRU Report #1124	Percent of Sibling Groups in Foster Care where all siblings are placed together by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent in Lic OHC Placed Outside Removal County	42	OCWDRU Report #1007	Percent of children in licensed OHC placed outside of removal county by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent in Lic OHC Placed Outside Removal Circuit	42	OCWDRU Report #1007	Percent of children in licensed OHC placed outside of removal circuit by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Children in Lic Care Placed in Grp Care	43	OCWDRU Report #1005	Children in licensed group care by age group
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of Children in Lic Care Placed in Grp Care -- 0-5, 6-12, 13-17, 0-17	43-45	OCWDRU Report #1005	Percent of children in licensed care (includes group care, licensed foster home, and residential treatment centers) who were placed in group care by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	% OoHC Prescribed a Psy Med	46	FSFN Data	All children in out-of-home care with a psy medication divided by the total out-of-home care population
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	% with a psy med that had consent	46	FSFN Data	All children with a psy medication that had consent divided by total children with a psy med.
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of Children in OHC Receiving Dental Services	47	OCWDRU Report #1092	Percent of children in OHC who received dental services within the last 7 months by CBC
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Percent of YA Aging out of FC Completing Education	47	OCWDRU Report #1121	Percent of young adults aging out of foster care who have completed or are enrolled in secondary education, vocational training, or adult education by CBC



CBC Lead Agencies	Director of the Hotline	Avg and % of Children Categorized as Missing	49	FSFN Missing Child Data	Average missing is the average number of children appearing categorized as missing with each of the missing child listings for the month. For example, 28 individual missing child list for February are summed and then divided by 28 to generate the average daily missing child count. This total is then divided by the total number of children in out-of-home and in-home as determined by subtracting young adults from the Children Active by Primary Worker for the 15th day of the month.
CBC Lead Agencies	Director of the Hotline	Missing Child Reports Entered into FSFN within One Working Day	49	FSFN Missing Child Data	All missing child episodes entered into FSFN as determined by Missing Child Entry Date in FSFN (time stamp) subtracted from Date FSFN CBC/CPI Notified of Missing Child (free text) with total resulting in number of days from notification to entry. Entries are reviewed to determine if entry was in one working day and then all missing child episodes that were entered into FSFN within one working day are divided by all missing child entries for the month.
CBC Lead Agencies	Director of the Hotline	% Missing Child Reports Resolved within 3 Days	50	FSFN Missing Child Data	Subtract child's recovery date (FSFN free text) from child's missing from date (FSFN free text) to calculate a missing from care duration. Divide all episodes entered within the month that were resolved within three days or less from the total number of missing child reports entered within the month.
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Total HT Intakes and % of Findings	50	FSFN HT Data	Sum of all HT intakes accepted by the Florida Abuse Hotline by month. Investigative findings run on a 60 day lag so as to allow for completion of the all investigations so this represents the sum of all HT investigative findings with the month absent data for the 2 most recent months. CALCULATED HT findings divided by all HT INTAKES
CBC Lead Agencies	Asst. Sec. of Operations / RMD	Total Children with an Indication of HT	51	FSFN HT Data	Sum of all children in out of home or in home care with one or more of the following data elements in FSFN Verified HT Finding, FSFN Person Mgt Page populated with HT info, one or more Missing Child Reports with HT selected as "Yes"
Child Care	Asst. Sec. of Child Welfare	Number of Child Care Inspections and Percent Completed Within 45 Days	52	Office of Child Care Regulation	Monthly count of Child Care Inspections Completed Within 45 days / Total Child Care Inspections Completed
Domestic Violence	Asst. Sec. of Child Welfare	Percent of Adult Victims Leaving Shelter after 72 hours with a Family Safety and Security Plan	52	Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence Monthly Performance Scorecard	Count of Adult Victims Leaving Shelter after 72 Hours with a Safety Plan/ Total # of Adult Victims Leaving Shelter after 72 Hours